

CWNA Board Meeting Minutes

Wednesday, July 9, 2014

Fireside Room @ Mt. Bachelor Village

The CWNA Board meeting was held on Wednesday, July 9, 2014 at the Fireside Room at Mt. Bachelor Village. Board Co-Chair, Bob Brell, called the meeting to order at 7:34pm. Eight (8) board members were present, therefore establishing a quorum (minimum of four (4) members required).

Board Members Present: **Bob Brell**, Co-Chair, Land Use Committee Head, Member E-Communications

Pam Sullivan, Co-Chair, NART Representative

Summer Oman, Secretary

Jeannie Berry, Treasurer

Jane Raleigh

Hans van den Houten

Cynthia Brown-Grochowski, BPRD Liaison

Tracy Pfiffner

Board Coordinators Present: **Rob Webber**, Broken Top

Committee Heads Present: Tina Burnside, Noxious Weed Eradication Committee Head

Other CWNA Members: Marian van den Houten

Board Meeting Minute Acronyms

BPRD – Bend Parks and Recreation District

BDC – Bend Development Code

CEAC – Campus Expansion Advisory Committee

CMSTCF – Cascade Middle School Crosswalk Task Force

COB – City of Bend

CWNA – Cascade West Neighborhood Association

CWNA BOD – CWNA Board of Directors

LUBA – Land use Board of Appeal

NA – Neighborhood Association(s)

NART – Neighborhood Associations Roundtable

OSU-C – Oregon State University Cascades

TSAC – City of Bend Traffic Safety Advisory Committee

Approval of Minutes

The Board received and reviewed the minutes from the CWNA March 12, 2014 Board Meeting. A motion was made by Jane Raleigh, seconded and carried unanimously to approve the CWNA Board Meeting Minutes from March 12, 2014, as prepared by Summer Oman.

Committee Reports

1. **Membership** – Committee Head, Judy McKrell, not present. Bob Brell reported that CWNA is currently comprised of 696 members from 396 households/2,200 tax lots.
2. **TSAC** - TSAC Representative, Jim Gattey, not present, submitted the Agenda from the June 17, 2014 meeting (attached, Exhibit A) and Meeting Minutes from the April 15, 2014 meeting (attached, Exhibit B). Bob Brell added that he received information regarding the upcoming Pub Talks at Broken Top Bottle Shop, sponsored by the Summit West NA to be held on Thursday, June 17, 2014 from 5:30-6:30pm. Attendance is open

to all and the meeting will focus on the Traffic Growth Management Plan with Nick Arnis from the COB as the guest speaker. Bob plans to attend and encourages others to do so.

3. **Land Use** - Committee Head, Bob Brell presented on the public meeting held for 19536 Century Drive CUP Application, the public meeting for Mt. Bachelor Village, 20-lot Cluster Development and the Public Hearing for OSU-Cascades Development Application. Reports for all are attached. (Exhibit C)

4. **Website/Membership Data Base** – Committee Head, Julie Austin, not present, no report given.

5. **Noxious Weed Eradication** - Committee Head, Tina Burnside announced that the ‘Let’s Pull Together’ Event on June 14, 2014 was successful, but that in the future she hopes to focus efforts more within our neighborhoods. She informed the board that Hawkweed has been popping up in our areas and can’t be pulled, but needs to be sprayed. See attached pamphlet about Hawkweed (Exhibit D).

6. **Finance** - Treasurer, Jeanne Berry reported that CWNA was allocated \$2,272 for the 2013-2014 Fiscal Year and every penny was spent. CWNA has been allocated \$2,266 for the 2014-2015 Fiscal Year. Bob Brell is to complete the annual compliance report to release the funds.

7. **NART** - CWNA Representative, Pam Sullivan, reported that attendance is down and there is a lack of focus and/or particular mission. The city has new coordinators who seem to be taking over NART responsibilities. Bob Brell intends to attend the next meeting and hopes to ‘stir the pot.’

8. **BPRD CWNA Liaisons**, Cynthia Brown-Grochowski reported on the Alpine Park Trail Head, Haul Road, Deschutes River Trail and Simpson Pavillion. See attached report (Exhibit E). Bob Brell presented the opportunity for CWNA to adopt a park or trail as something we should look into.

New Business

1. **Approval Expenditures** to Austin Design LLC for Website and Membership Data Base management.

a. Invoice #168 for the period January - June 2014, totaling \$180. A motion was made by Pam Sullivan to approve the invoice. The motion was seconded and unanimously approved.

b. Invoice #TBD for the period July – December 2014, totaling \$180. A motion was made by Pam Sullivan to approve the invoice. The motion was seconded and unanimously approved.

2. **Discuss NART communications i.e. Facebook, Nextdoor, others** – Bob Brell led discussion on whether or not we as an association should be utilizing any of these. Tracy Pfiffner and Cynthia Brown-Grochowski stepped up to look into the requirements and limitations of starting a Facebook Group Page.

3. **OSU-Cascades Status** – Bob Brell presented that at the CEAC Meeting on June 18, 2014 OSU-Cascades planning team plans to formally adopt 71 of the 90 recommendations made to date, with remaining items either still under review or in the hands of the COB. Attached is a summary of the recommendations made by the Neighborhood Livability, Transportation and Sustainability Task Forces (recommendations from remaining six (6)

task forces are forthcoming). (Exhibit F)

4. **UGB Status** – Bob Brell informed the board that he was interviewed regarding the UGB Expansion by Joe Dills of Angelo Planning Group. The process of expansion is ongoing and will be determined by the State of Oregon and the City of Bend.

5. **High School Intern** – Bob Brell shared that a high school junior contacted him via email looking for volunteer opportunities to get involved. Cynthia Brown-Grochowski and Tracy Pfiffner to contact her to see if she is interested in assisting CWNA in setting up a group Facebook page.

6. **Member-at-Large Position #9** – Hans van den Houten announced that he and his wife are moving out of the neighborhood into Tetherow in August, and is therefore stepping down from this position. The board has appointed Hans as the new Board Coordinator for Triple Knot in Tetherow. Hans intends to speak with his new neighbors to find a year-round Board Coordinator since he and his wife are only in Bend 5 months out of the year.

With no further business brought before the Board, a motion was made by Pam Sullivan and seconded to adjourn the meeting at 9:16pm.

Prepared and submitted by Summer Oman, Secretary.

C:\Users\soman\Documents\CWNA\070914\TSAC Agenda 6-17-2014 + Minutes 4-15-2015.doc

A G E N D A

Traffic Safety Advisory Committee

Council Chambers

June 17, 2014

(8:15 A.M.) WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS

(8:20 a.m.) Approval of Minutes

Attachment: 4-15-2014 minutes

(8:25 a.m.) Review Agenda

(8:30 a.m.) Comments from the Public

(8:45 a.m.) Police/Enforcement – Clint Burleigh

Update.

(8:50 a.m.) ODOT Safety Program – Update: Joel McCarroll

There is a new statewide bicycle and pedestrian safety program.

(9:00 a.m.) Safety RFP for Design Services – Update: Robin Lewis

City is in the process of creating concepts for improved crossings of 3rd Street, Greenwood, Colorado (streets with higher pedestrian crash incidences due to the multiple lanes). Right turn hook bicycle crash concepts.

(9:05 a.m.) Bike-Walk Facility Implementation Strategic Planning Process – Update:

Robin Lewis The city is developing a prioritized list of walking and biking projects for the city's TSP update.

(9:35 a.m.) Injury Prevention Program – Update: Kim Curley

Injury prevention is being incorporated into the state's pedestrian transportation systems. What is coming up for Bend?

(9:55 a.m.) Items added to Agenda

(10:00 a.m.) Adjournment/reminders

City Council - June 18 - 5:00 -7:00 PM work session BWIP

Tri-County Summit (walk + bike) – Madras, new city hall

June 19 - 9:00 AM to 1:00 PM

Next TSAC Meeting - September 16 - 8:15 AM to 10:00 AM

TSAC

Voting Members

Nick Arnis, Transportation Div Manager (Chair)
Thomas Stump, citizen (Vice Chair)
Denice Blake, BLPSD
Clint Burleigh, Police
David Howe, Fire Department
Erik Huffman, citizen
George Kolb, Deschutes County Road Dept
Joel McCarroll, ODOT Region 4 Traffic Manager
Bill Michalek, citizen
David Olsen, citizen alternate
Jim Roberts, citizen
Colin Stephens, Community Development Dept
Michael Toney, citizen

Non-Voting Member

Liaison to City Council

Doug Knight

EXHIBIT A

TSAC TSAC Agenda 6-17-2014 + Minutes 4-15-2015.doc Attachments

M E E T I N G

M I N U T E S

Traffic Safety Advisory Committee

April 15, 2014

(8:15 A.M.) WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS

(8:20 a.m.) Approval of Minutes

Meeting Minutes: The 1/21/14 minutes were approved

(8:30 a.m.) Comments from the Public

Meeting Minutes:

(8:45 a.m.) Police/Enforcement Update

Meeting Minutes: Clint Burleigh introduced himself.

(8:50 a.m.) ODOT Safety Program – Update: Joel McCarroll

Meeting Minutes: Joel was unable to attend. Topic rescheduled to 6/17/2014 meeting.

(9:20 a.m.) Safety RFP for Design Services – Update: Nick Arnis

Meeting Minutes: Nick updated the committee on the RFP – at the time of the meeting the city was in the process of choosing a consulting firm to develop concept plans for multi-lane roadway crossings of 3rd Street, Greenwood Avenue, and Colorado Avenue as well as bike right turn hook crashes at 3 locations.

(9:25 a.m.) Bicycle Facility Design Training – ODOT presentation by Dan Serpico

Meeting Minutes: Dan provided an update on Portland's bike facilities and key thoughts from his recent weeklong training on bike facilities. Neighborhood greenways play a significant role in Portland's successes. Building more comfortable facilities will attract a broader user base and range of users.

(9:50 a.m.) Bicycle Diversion Program – Brian Potwin Commute Options

TSAC

Voting Members

Nick Arnis, Transportation Div Manager
(Chair)
Thomas Stump, citizen (Vice Chair)
Denice Blake, BLPSD
Jim Porter, Police
David Howe, Fire Department
Erik Huffman, citizen
George Kolb, Deschutes County Road Dept
Joel McCarroll, ODOT Region 4 Traffic
Manager

Bill Michalek, citizen
David Olsen, citizen alternate
Jim Roberts, citizen
Colin Stephens, Community Development
Dept
Michael Toney, citizen
Non-Voting Member
Liaison to City Council
Doug Knight

EXHIBIT B

TSAC TSAC Agenda 6-17-2014 + Minutes 4-15-2015.doc Attachments

Meeting Minutes: This program is unique in the nation and is a tool to teach proper riding skills and laws. The Bicycle Diversion Program is credited with reducing bike related crashes in Bend.

(10:00 a.m.) Adjournment

Meeting Minutes: folks were reminded to attend the next TSAC meeting on June 17, 2014.

Land Use Development.

1. Public Meeting for 19536 Century Drive CUP Application. A Public Meeting has been called to hear plans for a CUP (Conditional Use Permit) Application for an ADU (Accessory Dwelling Unit) at 19536 SW Century Drive, Bend, OR. The meeting will be held on Tuesday, July 8, 2014 at 6 PM in the Brooks Room, Bend Public Library, 601 NW Wall Street. The applicants are Scott Thomas and Libby Trader. The applicants are applying for a CUP to allow construction of an ADU to be located on the property of a single family home currently under construction at 19536 SW Century Drive. The ADU building will be a single story structure having a footprint of 590m sq ft with one bedroom, a bath, a kitchen and a living area. The intended purpose of the building is as a guest house for family and friends but may be considered for short term rentals when owners are present on the property. All interested parties are invited to attend the Public Meeting.

2. Public Meeting for Mt. Bachelor Village- 20 lot Cluster Development. A Public Meeting has been called to hear plans to subdivide Tax Lot 1700 for a 20 lot cluster development located within Mt. Bachelor Village. The meeting will be held on Friday, July 18, 2014, 4:30 to 6 PM at the Mt Bachelor Village Conference Center, 19717 Mt Bachelor Drive. The property is located adjacent to the corner of Century Drive and Mt. Bachelor Drive (where the existing tennis courts are located). Detailed maps depicting the specific proposal will be available at the Public Meeting. All interested parties are invited to attend the Public Meeting.

3. Public Hearing for OSU-Cascades Development Application. Project # PZ 14-0210. On June 10 and June 11, 2014 comments from the public were heard by Ken Helm, Hearings Officer regarding the proposed Oregon State University-Cascades (Applicant) Site Plan/Design Review application for a new undergraduate university campus. The Applicant is requesting Site Plan Review approval for the layout of the 10.44 acre property located at 1500 SW Chandler Avenue to include its buildings and needed supporting infrastructure. OSU-Cascades is also seeking Design Review approval for the Residence Hall, Dining/INTO Living & Learning Center and Academic Building. The application has been assigned Project Number PZ-14-0210. The Hearing Notice is attached . The Application and attachments/exhibits and public comments may be seen by logging on to the ePlans website at: <https://eplans.ci.bend.or.us/ProjectDox/> Username: publicviewer@bendoregon.gov and Password: public.

CWNA Board Position. Your CWNA Board does not have a position on the attributes of the proposed project per se. Some CWNA members continue to express the new 4-year University as a defining moment for the City of Bend and articulate the prospect of having a dynamic, four-year university as a wonderful addition to the community with economic, intellectual and cultural benefit while some members express support for the 4-Year University but not at the proposed location. With this divergence of opinion, your Board has not nor plans to take a position representing the membership. On the other hand, to do nothing would have been unacceptable.

CWNA Response to OSU-Cascades Application, PZ 14-0210. CWNA's Land Use Chair reviewed the

OSU-Cascades application , compared it to City of Bend Development Code criteria and standards

for consistency and proposed mitigation by the University. Where an observation was made based on

EXHIBIT C

a perceived shortfall by the University it was so noted. The University is only required to meet the

Development Code; like any developer, It is not required to deal with a higher standard. CWNA's

response was submitted prior to the Public Hearing and became part of the record. CWNA's

response is attached, see CWNA Response to OSU-Cascades Application, PZ 14-0210.

Land Use Considerations Related to OSU-Cascades Campus Expansion Site. Karnopp Peterson LLP published on their website on April 29, 2014 a very informative piece by Ellen Grover. We have received permission from MS Grover to publish the article for the CWNA membership. If you haven't read the article you need to do so. It specifically speaks to OSUCascades

proposed site relative to Oregon Land Use law and will answer most if not all of your questions about the site. Click on the attachment above or go the Karnopp Peterson LLC home page and click on Blog discussions.

Bob Brell

CWNA, Land Use Head

June 2, 2014

Aaron Henson, Senior Planner

Bend Planning Division

City of Bend

710 NW Wall Street

Bend, OR 97701

BEFORE THE CITY OF BEND PLANNING DIVISION.

In the Matter of the Application of: State of Oregon acting through the State of Oregon Board of Higher Education on behalf of Oregon State University, referred to on the application form as Oregon State University-Cascades ("OSU-Cascades")

File Number: PZ-14-0210.

On behalf of the Century West Neighborhood Association, the following is respectfully submitted.

Applicable Criteria, Standards and Procedures

Title 2- Land Use Districts. Chapter 2.0, Land Use District Administration; Chapter 2.2, Commercial Zoning Districts (CB, CC,CL,CG)

Title 3-Design Standards. Chapter 3.1 Lot, Parcel and Block Design, Access and Circulation; Chapter 3.2, Landscaping, Street Trees, Fences and Walls; Chapter 3.3, Vehicle Parking, Loading and Bicycle Parking; Chapter 3.4, Public Improvement Standards; Chapter 3.5, Other Design Standards; Chapter 3.6, Special Standards for Certain Uses.

Title 4- Applications and Review Procedures. Chapter 4.1, Development Review and Procedures; Chapter 4.2, Site Plan Review and Design Review; Chapter 4.7, Transportation Analysis

We request the following be considered as you review the OSU-Cascades Application.

The importance of the OSU-Cascades application to build a 4-year University north and west of the intersection at Century Drive and Chandler Drive cannot be understated

relative to its impact on the Bend community and Bend's neighborhoods on the west side. The proposed OSUCascades 4-year university has the potential to represent a defining moment in the evolution of Bend from a small mill town to a 21st century community where its citizens have chosen to relocate here from communities elsewhere because of the quality of life Central Oregon provides and who want to live, work, play and raise their families. We have a chance to get it right for present and future generations. We hope the decision makers who will weigh in on this application will step back when confronted with those decisions which will define the project and ask in addition to what does the Bend Development Code call for and what does the Applicant desire but also make a best effort to ask what is in the best interests of the community and the immediate neighborhoods. The Bend Development Code is an imperfect document and can only reflect what has come before and what can be seen. A 4-year University of 1,800 students growing to 5,000 students could never have been completely and properly envisioned

when the Code was written and updated several times and it is for this reason we ask the decision makers to include and reflect on the certainty of this Application and the Master Plan, which will follow, in its decisions. OSU-Cascades conducted two Open Houses in February 2014 which provided an opportunity for the community to see and hear OSU-Cascade plans for the project and most importantly to comment and ask questions important to them. Those comments and questions ranged all the way from complete and unconditional support for the project all the way to the other side of "there must be a better site". In between they expressed comments and concerns that fell into several broad categories to include transportation, livability, housing among others but these seem to be those of most concern. Within each of these broad categories are numerous sub-sets. Transportation included concerns with the inadequacy of Century Drive and the roundabouts at Simpson and Reed Market Road to handle the increase traffic demand. Transportation included concerns with pedestrian and bicycle safety on surrounding public streets and roundabouts. Transportation included concerns with on and off campus parking. Livability concerns included public safety considerations such as nuisance parties and police capacity; neighborhood appearance considerations included such things as lighting and on street parking; housing considerations included such things as multi-family rentals and property values; demand for services included such things as roads, water, sewer, bike lanes and trails. Each and every one of these questions and comments begs for attention and a best effort solution as we strive to maintain the quality of life we have come to enjoy in the greater Bend community and the neighborhoods on the west side.

Specific to the Bend Development Code Criteria, Standards and Procedures which apply to the Application, we raise the following issues and questions:

Chapter 2.2, Commercial Zoning Districts (CB, CC, CL, CG),

2.2.300 Permitted and Conditional Uses,

Table 2.2.300-Permitted and Conditional Uses, CL.

1. The table under Land Use, Public and Institutional, School, colleges and vocational schools and which intersects with CL indicates a P for permitted use. The Applicant states, "A college is a use permitted outright in the CL zoning district". The Applicant is proposing a university not a college. In most minds a college and a university are quite different entities differentiated by size, organization, funding and other considerations.

The BDC (Bend Development Code) lists colleges but not universities. Are we to assume they are one and the same? The Applicant is careful in its Burden of Proof Statement to consistently use the word college. We can't say with certainty but the word university appears to be absent in the Applicants Burden of Proof Statement. We believe this issue needs to be fully considered and understood before proceeding. Are colleges and universities the same? The owner of the property is the State of Oregon and the owner is proposing to build a university. That much is clear.

2. One can contend the BDC when written did not adequately envision and take into consideration the impact of a college much less a university under the designated zoning criteria, standards and procedures. There is not another permitted use listed under CL that even comes close to the complexity of use and impact on a development site. The Applicant is proposing 1,800 students on the site growing to 5,000 students on an expanded site. The next large population permitted (primary, secondary schools, hospital) might reach as high as 1,500 people. Within the CL designation, are we to assume the BDC means to say, "one size fits all" or is this a situation that just wasn't properly thought through when the land uses were listed in the table. This is not meant to be critical of the huge effort that has gone into developing the BDC but is meant to suggest that colleges or universities don't fit the mold (Commercial Limited) and should be considered against a different and higher set of criteria. Do COCC and St. Charles Hospital carry different zoning labels?

3. If the contention that colleges and universities should be treated differently or separately from other CL permitted uses, then the criteria against which the OSUCascades Burden of Proof are inappropriate.

4. If it is believed that colleges and universities are one and the same and are no different than an office, clinic, hotel, day care center, etc, then it is contended that the OSUCascades Application be judged with an extra level of review and consideration because of its large and growing population and impact on the site and the surrounding properties. This is particularly appropriate when it comes to issues related to transportation (roads, parking, bicycle, pedestrian, trails) and housing and the mitigation for same.

Chapter 3.3 VEHICLE PARKING, LOADING AND BICYCLE PARKING

Section 3.3.300 Vehicle Parking Standards for On-Site Requirements

1. The Applicant is proposing that a total of 324 parking spaces be provided for all uses contemplated on the campus. The Applicant further states, "All spaces, other than the 21 spaces on Chandler Avenue, are located on-site."

a. We contend that 303 parking spaces on campus are inadequate for a campus expected to hold 1,800 students, plus faculty & staff of 70 plus service vehicle requirements. We understand the rationale for the parking space number but 1) we believe the formula has not been pressure tested (what's the fallout from an over abundance of snow preventing bicycle use or what if any one of the assumptions in the model is flawed) and 2) should an added multiplier be developed reflecting unusual attendance events such as move-in/move out days, cultural events, special events, graduation, etc as these will be the days the public and the surrounding neighborhoods will remember not only for their merit but for their inconvenience. Parking spaces is a big impact issue and should not be treated lightly nor arrived at because of economic

considerations for on-campus cost of land.

b. If the Applicant errs on its parking needs, funding is a minimum of two years away for a fix; two years during which its neighbors will quickly build an anti-University mindset.

c. We ask the Applicant to re-do its parking plan to reflect the 21 less spaces on Chandler and take into consideration the University's typical special events calendar.

2. We believe the City of Bend should declare Chandler Avenue on its north side a no parking zone from the roundabout at Century Drive all the way to Mt. Washington Avenue. Chandler Avenue is a winding neighborhood road with businesses on the south side and permitted parking. We envision cars parked on the north side (at least 21 spaces as counted by the Applicant) impacting line-of-site, car doors opening/closing, bicycles in motion and pedestrians on the expanded sidewalk to the inside and a population of 18 to 21 year olds who will be thinking about anything but public safety and we believe this is an accident waiting to happen. Additionally, this is where the Applicant is proposing to add a new street right at the apex of the curve on Chandler Avenue and designating it as the main entrance to the campus. We ask the City declare Chandler Avenue a no-parking zone on its north side from the roundabout at Century Drive to its intersection with Mt. Washington Drive and do so now SO THAT THE Applicant can plan accordingly.

Chapter 3.4 PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT STANDARDS

Section 3.4.200 Transportation Improvement Standards

F. Minimum Rights-of-Way and Street Sections.

3. Future Street Widths and Special Building Lines. To ensure that adequate transportation corridors will be preserved for the future, a special setback of 50 feet from the centerline shall be applied to City streets with a functional classification of expressway, primary arterial, major arterial and minor arterial.

The Applicant states this requirement cannot be met on Century Drive because the property on the east side of Century owned by International Hotel Associates was built close to or at the property line thereby resulting in a 90' right-of-way. We believe that between the City who allowed the International Hotel Associates to build close to or at the property line and the Applicant, they together need to find a solution to establish a 100' right-of-way or 50' each way from the centerline along this important stretch of 14th Street/Century Drive corridor. We don't have an answer but the proposed solution is unacceptable. Now is the time to find a solution, not after the developers have boxed in the City for the needed and appropriate right-of-way which would be a win for the Applicant and a lose for the local community. Planning needs to think 2025 and further out. The 14th Street/Century Drive corridor will become one of a handful of keyroads within the City Limits and should not be restricted.

Chapter 3.4 PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT STANDARDS

Section 3.4.200 Transportation Improvement Standards

G. Traffic Controls.

1. Traffic signals/roundabouts shall be required and installed in accordance with BDC Chapter 4.7, Transportation Analysis, with development when traffic control warrants are met, in conformance with the Highway Capacity Manual and Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices. Traffic signal;/roundabout design shall be approved by City Engineer. The developer's financial responsibility and the

timing of improvements shall be included as a condition of development approval.

The Applicant states their “transportation analysis shows that a traffic signal/roundabout is not required at any of the intersections that must be analyzed by the TIA”. We must ask the question as to who made this decision? Was this decision made by the transportation engineering firm hired by OSU-Cascades? Was this decision made by the City of Bend transportation engineering department? Was this decision made by the City of Bend Public Works? Does the formula to make this determination exist somewhere in the BDC? We can’t find the source much less the rationale.

1. Roundabout at Century Drive/Mt Washington Drive/Reed Market Road. We believe this decision needs to be challenged based on the regularly observed grid lock at the Century Drive/Reed Market Road roundabout. Students and faculty will travel from the campus and surrounding neighborhoods via Mt. Washington Drive and Century Drive to access the Cascades Lakes Region and Mt. Bachelor. They must go through this roundabout which will only add trips. The Bend Police Department has declared this roundabout a failed roundabout based on police, fire and EMT vehicle inability to traverse the roundabout during Cascades Middle School openings in the AM and closings in the PM when trying to reach neighborhoods to the southwest to include West Ridge, First on the Hill, Sunrise Village, Braeburn, Cascade Village, Westbrook Meadows, Mountain Gate, Sagewood, Bachelor View Road and Widgi Creek among others. The OSU-Cascades population will exacerbate this failed roundabout and unacceptable situation. The same gridlock is witnessed during inclement weather which includes snow on the Mountain and or rain, sleet or snow in the City. The City of Bend needs to answer the question, how much more traffic can be tolerated before the decision is made to build a double roundabout at this location. The answer so far appears to be to push it down the road.

2. Roundabout at Century Drive/Colorado Avenue/Chandler Avenue. We believe it’s preposterous to conclude there will be no additional impact on the Chandler Avenue/Colorado Avenue/Century Drive roundabout from a 1,800 student population. This is the same roundabout that ODOT will be asked to route vehicles through from HWY 97 to the proposed entrance to the OSU-Cascades. This is the same roundabout that all vehicles will traverse to enter the University from the north, east and west. We can find no proposed mitigation to get this roundabout ready for its increased exposure to a new transportation challenge. We believe this oversight needs to be fixed.

3. Roundabout at Century Drive/Simpson Avenue. We believe it’s also preposterous to conclude there will be no additional impact on the Simpson Avenue/Century Drive roundabout from a 1,800 student population many headed from the campus to services (stores, grocery, restaurants, breweries, etc) which will use this key roundabout. We can find no proposed mitigation to get this roundabout ready for its increased exposure to a new transportation challenge. We believe this oversight needs to be fixed.

4. Century Drive/14th Street Corridor. The Century Drive/14th Street corridor will become the primary avenue to access the new OSU-Cascades campus from points north to include some students travelling from COCC to OSU-Cascades and return; some COCC students may chose to use Mt Washington Drive. The Century Drive/14th Street corridor from Newport Avenue to Mt. Washington Drive is currently a mix of lanes, some turning lanes, some bicycle lanes, some sidewalks but mostly without an

uninterrupted flow on either side of the road. Is this the time to step forward and declare “the Corridor” a priority for upgrade so that when students leave campus to visit local services, walking and biking won’t be a risk of life situation?

5. A second look is needed to ascertain the impacts from the campus on items 1 through 4 above.

Chapter 3.4 PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT STANDARDS

Section 3.4.200 Transportation Improvement Standards

N. Existing Rights-of-Way. Whenever existing right-of-way adjacent to or within a tract are of less than standard width, additional rights-of-way shall be provided at the time of subdivision or site development, in conformance with tables A through E in this section.

The Applicant states, “The Applicant has requested an exception and has explained why it is not feasible for OSU-Cascades to obtain right-of-way on the east side of Century Drive from private property owners in the Colorado Point Business Park.” We believe that between the City who allowed the International Hotel Associates to build close to or at the property line and the Applicant, they together need to find a solution to establish a 100’ right-of-way or 50’ each way from the centerline along this important stretch of Century Drive. We don’t have an answer but the proposed solution is unacceptable. Now is the time to find a solution, not after the developers have boxed in the City for the needed and appropriate right-of-way which would be a win for the Applicant and a lose for the local community. Worst case for the Applicant would be for the Applicant to give an additional 10’ of right-of-way along the western side of Century Drive. The City needs to think long term on what will Century Drive look like in 2025?

Chapter 4.2, SITE PLAN REVIEW AND DESIGN REVIEW

Section 4.2.200 Site Plan Review

F. Site Plan Approval Criteria.

1. The proposed land use is a permitted or conditional use in the zoning district.

The Applicant states, “A college is a use permitted outright in the CL zoning district.” The owner of the property is the State of Oregon who is proposing to build a 4-year University, not a college. Webster’s dictionary does not include University in its definition of college neither does it include college in its definition of University except as a subset within a University system. It is contended a 4-year University is not a college and thus may not be a permitted use under the BDC.

Chapter 4.7 TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS.

Section 4.7.100 Purpose and Authority.

Section 4.7.200 Transportation Impact Study.

Section 4.7.400 Approval Criteria

Section 4.7.500 Mitigation.

We support the following RECOMMENDATIONS in the Transportation Impact Analysis for the OSU-Cascades 10-Acre Site Plan which follow:

1. Primary access to the new campus should occur along Chandler Avenue. This should be provided as a new intersection west of Yates Drive at the apex of the curve on Chandler Drive. A secondary entrance should be provided onto SW Century Drive aligned with the SW Donovan Avenue intersection and designed as a two-lane entrance.

2. An enhanced pedestrian crossing of Century Drive should be designed and constructed by OSU-Cascades at the Donovan Avenue intersection with Century Drive. This crossing should consider including illumination, signing, striping and pedestrian pushbutton-activated lights.
3. Wayfinding to the OSU-Cascades campus should be coordinated with ODOT to include signage on US 97 directing students and visitors to use the Colorado Avenue interchange for campus access.
4. OSU-Cascades should coordinate with CET and the City of Bend to designate a location along the site frontage that can serve as a transit connection for students and faculty and construct the facility interconnected to the academic and residence buildings with pathways. The transit stop should include a sheltered waiting area, benches and illumination.
5. OSU-Cascades should develop a Parking Management Plan (PMP) detailing how the campus will manage vehicular parking within the campus. The PMP is to be a living document that should be updated as part of all new building applications.

We do not support the Transportation Plan as it may pertain to the following:

1. We propose a no-parking restriction be imposed on Chandler Avenue on the north side of the road beginning at Century Drive and ending at Mt. Washington Drive for the reasons stated earlier.
2. We propose OSU-Cascades work with the City to find a solution to fulfill the 100 foot right-of-way on Century Drive between Chandler Drive and Simpson Avenue.
3. We propose the TIA include impact from the campus on the roundabouts at Century Drive/Reed Market/Mt. Washington Drive, Century Drive/Chandler Avenue/Colorado Avenue and Century Drive/Simpson Avenue and the Century Drive/14th Street corridor and recommend mitigation as necessary.

Respectfully submitted, on behalf of the Board of Directors of Century West Neighborhood Association and its 700 plus members.

Bob Brell
CWNA Land Use Committee Chair
Bob Brell
61130 Bachelor View Road
Bend, OR 97702
(541) 382-9427

- This is a priority noxious weed. Reports it to the Oregon Department of Agriculture immediately!
- Document sites using landmarks or GPS coordinates for future investigation.
- Prevent the spread by thoroughly cleaning boots, pets, livestock,

orequipmentofmudanddebris
thatmaycarryseeds.

Report suspect sites to

OregonDepartmentofAgriculture
NoxiousWeedControlProgram
503-986-4621
orcall

1-866-invader

Oregon

Department
ofAgriculture

Oregon Department of Agriculture

Plant Division
Noxious Weed Control Program
635 Capitol St. NE
Salem OR 97301-2532

Echium vulgare

Beautiful invader!

EXHIBIT D

Orange hawkweed is easily identifiable by its showy red-orange flower. It is a perennial with spatula shaped hairy leaves that are exclusively basil. The bristly stem grows up to 12 inches tall and can produce five to 30 flowers at the tip. The entire plant contains milky juice, the root system is fibrous and

each plant produces fuzzy-white stolons that extend 4-12 inches and begin to form the next colony of plants.

Introduced from Europe, orange hawkweed has become invasive throughout the United States. Few sites are documented in Oregon. Due to its attractive flowers, plant enthusiasts have traded specimens, adding to its spread. It has been labeled as “orange aster” and other garden varieties and is mistakenly sold as a native plant.

Orange hawkweed can quickly invade and dominate a wide range of habitats, out-competing native species. Once established, it quickly expands until it covers the site with a solid mat of rosettes, which can eliminate desirable vegetation. This plant invades moist grasslands, forest openings, pastures, and wilderness areas, making the Pacific Northwest a high risk for invasion.

Orange hawkweed is a perennial species that reproduces through four main mechanisms; stolons, rhizomes, seed, and in some cases buds on the roots. It can spread through human activities, wind, animals, and contaminated soil.

<http://oregon.gov/ODA/PLANT>

08/2012

Photography by Beth Myers-Shenai and Bonnie Rasmussen, ODA and Jerry Asher, BLM.

Materials and photos are available at no cost.

Please credit Oregon Department of Agriculture.

Contact 503-986-4621 for more information.

Oregon

Department
of Agriculture

- Widespread
- Historical site
- Limited
- Not known to occur

BPRD Report – prepared by Cynthia Brown-Grochowski, CWNA Liaison

Alpine Park Trailhead

- Official trailhead creation is in the permitting process and construction is planned for this summer/fall
- They will expand Swarens Ave. and finish the edges on the west side of Swarens and add parallel parking for trail users on the west side of the street.
- One thing to consider/ask is whether the east side of Swarens will become “no parking” and how the homeowners would feel. If both sides of the street allow parking, will it be wide enough for traffic too?
- Two trails will go through Alpine Park – one to the south along the waterline (which will connect into the COD mountain bike trail) and one to the north
- There will also be a trail inside the park
- There will be updated signage and instructions but no other improvements, such as water
- West Campbell down to Century Dr. will remain the same (unpaved)

Haul Road Trail

- Haul Road Trail is supposed to be paved from Mammoth out to the City Limits whenever the Deschutes National Forest puts in their paved trail from the City Limits to their new visitor center at Cascade Lakes Hwy and HWY 41/Conklin Rd. However, the schedule for this is unknown. DNF moves slowly.

Deschutes River Trail

- DRT and the south UGB bridge crossing project has been kicked off
- We attended the Bend parks and rec open house. Very many people there.
- Trail Planner, Steve Jorgensen is pulling together a citizens advisory committee. We are on it.
- Some controversy around location of the bridge and bringing in more users into that section of the river/river trail. Mainly with the current landowners not wanting more people in that area. Currently no/limited controls in place other than lack of access.
- We see people already using this area heavily and driving in to use it from all areas so creating trails will allow people to walk/run/bike to use these trails instead and reduce car trips on Brookwood, Century, Hwy41/Conklin Rd. etc. So would be a good thing for CWNA.
- DNF also trying to reduce driving to trails and parking areas so this is fitting in with their plans Simpson Pavilion (ice rink)
- Attended open house/public hearing (note: total of 4 people there.)
- Parks and rec is looking for relief from road development/improvements around this project that were part of their purchase of this land and the total 11 acres.
- Site plans are in with the city, along with relief request, traffic analysis, etc.
- They see little resistance and a lot of users and new usage (curling, etc.) for an ice rink coming forward.
- Will be a very busy facility when it is open as ice rink, due to demand for this in the community.
- Potential use from 6AM/8AM to 10PM type of situation trying to schedule all the users/clubs/sports.
- Some concern about noise and lighting from the neighbors but parks and rec has mitigation plans

EXHIBIT E

OSU-Cascades 10-Acre Campus

Task Force Recommendations – CEAC Meeting – June 18, 2014

1

First round of community task force recommendations to be adopted into planning for 10-acre campus

For the past 8 months, 88 community and OSU-Cascades staff members from our nine Task Forces

have been working to assess the impact of the campus expansion on surrounding communities.

Several of these groups have presented formal recommendations and some are scheduled to present

later this month and year. These recommendations are designed to guide and shape planning for the

new four-year campus. The task force groups include representation from the city, local neighborhood

associations, residents, business owners, community leaders and university faculty and staff.

To date, more than 90 recommendations related to the 10-acre campus have been presented to the

Campus Expansion Advisory Committee by the Neighborhood Livability, . Of those presented, the

OSU-Cascades planning team plans to formally adopt 71 recommendations. Some of the remaining

recommendations are still under consideration while others, including those related to housing, are

still in review in other Task Forces. The first round of recommendations are focused on the following

areas:

Neighborhood Livability

- Construction Noise
- Nuisance Parties
- Special Events
- Personal Safety (Students)
- Property Security
- Campus Public Safety
- Housing
- Neighborhood Sensitivity

Transportation

- Traffic
- Parking

Sustainability

- Design
- Community Integration
- Social Equity

These recommendations have guided and shaped the planning process for the 10-acre campus

development and will continue to do so as the expansion continues. Below is a summary of the first

round of recommendations along with comments from the University's planning team.

✓ = adopted

○ = under further review, awaiting additional information or considering alternate plan

χ = will not be led by OSU-Cascades

• = OSU-Cascades Comments

EXHIBIT F

OSU-Cascades 10-Acre Campus

Task Force Recommendations – CEAC Meeting – June 18, 2014

2

Neighborhood Livability: CONSTRUCTION NOISE

Recommendations: **100% adopted**

- ✓ Restrict hours and days of operation based on west side demographics
- ✓ Make considerations for conditions during the morning and afternoon school drop off and release times (Mt. Washington)
- ✓ Identify “lessons learned” from local businesses and neighbors to develop better practices for subsequent phases

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- Conduct a post-construction survey to Century Blvd, Mt. Washington and Galveston business owners and neighbors to identify best practices
- Create a construction hotline phone number for ongoing monitoring and course correction
- Require subcontractors to include a construction noise management plan in the bidding process

Neighborhood Livability: NUISANCE PARTIES

Recommendations: **100% adopted**

- ✓ Develop a proactive series of Good Neighbor and Bend Living 101 training programs for both on-campus and off-campus students
- ✓ Create a public complaint process that connects directly to OSU
- ✓ Coordinates annual off-campus visits that include OSU, City of Bend, and neighborhood leaders to encourage Good Neighbor relations (to take place at the beginning of each school year)
- ✓ Develop periodic contact with property management companies regarding their role and responsibilities
- ✓ Develop a resource “Who To Call” contact list
- ✓ Collaboration to address issues typically found with student housing (Including, but not limited to noise, late-night parties, and alcohol consumption)

- ✓ Revise OSU student code of conduct to include both on-campus and off-campus behavior being subject to University sanctions

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- Re-engage students on an annual basis to reinforce good neighbor relations
- Continuously evaluate the training program with input from homeowners, property owners and neighborhood associations
- Create good neighbor education materials in collaboration with City of Bend and neighborhood association leaders
- Identify “preferred” OSU-approved property management companies (off-site rental lists) with on-going monitoring & accountability (3 strikes policy)

Neighborhood Livability: SPECIAL EVENTS

Recommendations: **100% adopted**

OSU-Cascades 10-Acre Campus

Task Force Recommendations – CEAC Meeting – June 18, 2014

3

- ✓ Have proactive notification to city, surrounding neighborhoods, and local businesses
- ✓ Provide thorough “due diligence” in the planning and execution of the special events
- ✓ Hire extra security*

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- Continue to develop detailed special event plans; similar to commencement planning process
- Coordinate public events with schools in close proximity and COCC; Initiate public notification of all events (media, email, posting, newsletter)

Neighborhood Livability: PERSONAL SAFETY (STUDENTS)

Recommendations: **100% adopted**

- ✓ Sufficient pathway and parking lot lighting for security
- ✓ Periodic walkability audits of campus pathways
- ✓ Continue on-campus residence hall advisor program
- ✓ For on-campus students, create a “residence hall watch” program
- ✓ Determine proper hours of operation for the various portions of the campus
- ✓ Provide Call boxes for emergencies or requesting escort
- ✓ Removal of any vegetation providing intruder hiding places*
- ✓ Offer crime prevention & awareness classes for students**
- Provide safety van(s) to pick up students who are too impaired to drive***

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- Evaluate safety vs. accessibility needs for hours of operation
- *Monitor low height landscaping

- ****Offer a public safety training (including sexual assault & alcohol awareness)**
- *****Evaluate cost-effectiveness and quality of van vs. taxi vouchers vs. student buddy program**

Neighborhood Livability: PROPERTY SECURITY

Recommendations

- For off-campus students, offer a “vacant” house check during holiday breaks*
- Develop off-campus housing program modeled after Rental Property Program** (Eugene PD) and/or Off-Campus Housing Excellence Program (Ohio State University)
- Offer “safety check” program for both on and off campus students**

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- All recommendations regarding on- or off-campus housing were passed to the Housing Task Force for consideration.

Neighborhood Livability: CAMPUS PUBLIC SAFETY

Recommendations

- Enter into an M.O.U. with City of Bend to create a new patrol district with a dedicated officer and substation on the OSU campus*
- Contract with security company to handle building security and on-campus parking**

OSU-Cascades 10-Acre Campus

Task Force Recommendations – CEAC Meeting – June 18, 2014

4

- Hire OSU/City of Bend liaison director to develop positive relationships between students, City of Bend, and surrounding neighborhoods. Position to be jointly funded by OSU and the City of Bend (job description from CSU – Fort Collins available upon request)
- ✓ Developing a protocol to handle extreme crime situations, like a student or person with a gun on campus or hostage situation

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- ***Assess campus community security needs with the City of Bend & Police Department and determine the City’s role (December 2014)**
- ****Evaluate staff vs. contract parking management to monitor on-campus parking**
- **Review liaison role with City of Bend including job description, timing of need and reporting structure**
- **Appoint an individual person on campus within the University to act as liaison for parking management**
- **OSU-Cascades is committed to working with City and Police staff to assess needs and**

determine best approaches to public safety for the campus

- OSU-Cascades is committed to developing a long-term collaborative relationship with the City and NA's. A liaison role was recently adopted in Corvallis; this may make good sense for OSU-Cascades/City.

Neighborhood Livability: HOUSING & NEIGHBORHOOD SENSITIVITY

(The following recommendations have been submitted by the Neighborhood Livability Task Force and

will be evaluated by the Housing Task Force for inclusion in the final Housing recommendations)

Recommendations

- ✓ Develop, monitor, and enforce housing policies that support harmonious living on and off campus
- ✓ Provide on-campus amenities (e.g., retail) that are integral and supportive of the students' on campus experience
- ✓ Work with rental owners to establish appropriate rental agreements for student housing
- ✓ Establish on-campus housing that is situated to support multimodal travel, including walking, biking, public transportation
- ✓ Establish amenities such as retail and recreation so that they are easily accessible to student travel without automobiles
- ✓ Work with rental owners to establish appropriate rental agreements for student housing
- ✓ Create on-campus housing that is attractive and consistent with Central Oregon aesthetics
- ✓ Establish University policies and educational programs to enhance student sensitivity to the surrounding community
- ✓ Establish periodic reviews of these policies and programs to ensure that they remain timely and effective.
- Set a goal of 75% of students to be housed on-campus by 2025*

OSU-Cascades Comments

OSU-Cascades 10-Acre Campus

Task Force Recommendations – CEAC Meeting – June 18, 2014

5

The University will:

- Develop a proactive series of Good Neighbor and Bend Living 101 training programs for both on-campus and off-campus students

- Re-engage students on an annual basis to reinforce good neighbor relations
- Continuously evaluate the training program with input from homeowners, property owners and neighborhood associations
- Create good neighbor education materials in collaboration with City of Bend and neighborhood association leaders
- Coordinate annual off-campus visits that include OSU, City of Bend, and neighborhood leaders to encourage Good Neighbor relations (to take place at the beginning of each school year)
- Develop periodic contact with property management companies regarding their role and responsibilities
- Include amenities such as a fitness center, convenience store, game room, gear storage space, computer/study space, laundry, coffee, dining in the 10 acre campus plan
- *Review and evaluate additional Housing Task force recommendations in the coming months
- Recommendations were passed to the Housing Task Force for review; all others adopted.

Transportation: TRAFFIC

Recommendations: **100% adopted**

- ✓ Provide robust bicycle parking supply ranging from simple racks to secure storage lockers
- ✓ Offer a place to shower, change & store gear for those commuting by bicycle
- ✓ Construct onsite transit facilities that are well lit, sheltered & provide amenities (wifi & electronic schedule info)
- ✓ Provide transit passes to students, employees & faculty
- ✓ Increase access to safe, convenient & comfortable infrastructure for bicycling & walking – both on & off campus*
- ✓ Establish a shuttle to ensure timely travel to & from campus to off-site parking
- ✓ Adapt work & class schedules to reduce peak-period commute trips to the campus so that they do not coincide with peak trips on the existing transportation system
- ✓ Participate in Commute Options ridesharing program, or develop a campus-specific rideshare program.
- ✓ Designate less expensive & more convenient parking spots for rideshare vehicles
- ✓ Create a convenient drop-off site for students who ride-share with others who do not have the

campus as a final destination

- ✓ Establish a flex vehicle program
- ✓ Develop information & outreach on transportation options by teaming with Commute Options or forming a campus-specific program
- ✓ Establish amenities such as retail and recreation so that they are easily accessible to student travel without automobiles

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- *Implement site plan improvements to surrounding areas that enhance safety including lights, public walkways

OSU-Cascades 10-Acre Campus

Task Force Recommendations – CEAC Meeting – June 18, 2014

6

Transportation: PARKING

Recommendations

χ Require staff & employees to park off-site, freeing on-site spaces for visitors, car-poolers, & students

- ✓ Create priority carpool spaces/prices
- ✓ Participate in parking management of on-street parking through education, incentives and disincentives
- ✓ Monitor on-site parking performance over time, & establish trigger points for pre-identified mitigation measures
 - Designate students to potentially help with enforcement of parking management*
- χ Require campus residents to store personal vehicles at an OSU-provided off-site parking lot**
 - Work with City to define a parking district***
 - Charge higher prices for on site close-in spaces & lower prices for off-site spaces****

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- Create a comprehensive parking management plan that is monitored, evaluated and enhanced on an on-going basis. It will include all necessary parking on-site. No off-site facility is required.
- Provide more bicycle parking spots for students, faculty and staff than required by city code
- Hire students to monitor and manage parking issues & evaluate best means of enforcement; this may be external or staff

- OSU-Cascades is committed to partnering with the City/Police to develop off-site parking management program, including monitoring and management.
- OSU-Cascades would like to see a parking district established around the campus.

SUSTAINABILITY: Design

Recommendations

- ✓ Adopt a high bar, aspirational sustainability vision
- ✓ Materials should honor the distinct place-setting of the area and emphasize Oregon wood products wherever possible
- ✓ Sourcing of building materials should be consistent with the Living Building Challenge to the greatest extent possible
- ✓ Architectural style shall reflect Central Oregon's past but also set the tone for the future
- ✓ Landscaping shall utilize potential natural vegetation for the site according to the plant list for the Ponderosa Pine-Bitterbrush-Fescue community of the Central Oregon Pumice Zone
- ✓ Site development shall proceed under a guideline of minimal disturbance and restoration
- ✓ Plant materials to be removed shall be reused elsewhere on site where/when possible
- ✓ Integrate buildings into the landscape where possible
- ✓ Buildings and landscaping shall serve as interpretive elements in a coordinated living/learning experience
- ✓ Site planning shall integrate campus buildings and open areas into existing commercial areas and travel corridors
- ✓ Site planning shall balance building density with preservation/restoration of significant natural features. Campus density shall match or exceed surrounding densities

OSU-Cascades 10-Acre Campus

Task Force Recommendations – CEAC Meeting – June 18, 2014

7

- ✓ Passive solar design with high performance building shell and HVAC systems
- ✓ Innovative use of wood products
 - HVAC systems (heating and cooling) for all buildings should be compatible with multiple energy sources and systems (heating and cooling)
 - Innovative use of water management and strategies for potable, grey and black water systems

- Green building certifications
- ✓ Energy monitoring and management systems
- Solar energy systems (electric and thermal)
- ✓ Campus environment as an education experience
- ✓ Adopt a sustainability performance measurement system and action plan

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- Implement a full adoption of sustainability recommendations for building designs

SUSTAINABILITY: Community Integration

Recommendations

- Initiate a collaborative EcoDistrict project for the campus and surrounding neighborhoods, in partnership with the City of Bend and other key local governmental and community stakeholders*

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- *Explore and evaluate EcoDistricts (Portland-based) vs. a Bend version in partnership with other local partners. Conversations are underway to determine the most effective option

SUSTAINABILITY: Social Equity

(Specific social equity recommendations are currently under development and will be evaluated in the coming months)

Recommendations

- ✓ Adopt a social equity action plan
- ✓ Create a human-centric infrastructure and human-centric policies that focus on metrics of wellbeing.
- ✓ Practice transparent decision-making processes and the promotion of democratic governance within the university.
- ✓ Consider just allocation of benefits created by the campus and just allocation of burdens created by the campus
- ✓ Promote awareness, protections and/or opportunities for marginalized groups and minorities
- ✓ Promote respect for long tenured wisdom and historical context that exists in the community

OSU-Cascades Comments

The University will:

- Review and evaluate additional social equity recommendations in the coming months